

ORDERED THAT the motion (Doc. 22) is DENIED without prejudice to petitioner's right to reassert his claims and concerns regarding access to counsel at the conclusion of proceedings in the Court of Common Pleas of Dauphin County.

FURTHER, in light of disposition of the aforementioned motion (Doc. 22), IT IS HEREBY ORDERED THAT respondents' motion to stay disposition of petitioner's motion for an order directing the Pennsylvania Department of Corrections to provide him with adequate access to counsel pending disposition of petitioner's similar motion in the Court of Common Pleas of Dauphin County, Pennsylvania (Doc. 25) is DISMISSED as moot.

s/Christopher C. Conner
CHRISTOPHER C. CONNER
United States District Judge

Dated: March 16, 2010.

where such access can be provided. On February 2, 2010, the Dauphin County court directed the Department of Corrections to file a response to that motion, which it did on February 12, 2010. Further, on March 10, 2010, petitioner filed a response to the Dauphin County court's inquiry concerning provision of confidential attorney-client visits. These filings demonstrate that the Dauphin County court is already addressing counsel's concerns with respect to access to petitioner for purposes of confidential attorney-client visits.